



REPUBLIKA SLOVENIJA
MINISTRSTVO ZA VISOKO ŠOLSTVO,
ZNANOST IN INOVACIJE



NCP
WIDERA.NET




Funded by
the European Union

KLJUČNI ELEMENTI PRESOJE PROJEKTHNIH PREDLOGOV PO MERILIH

TEAMING

mag. Tanja Vertelj, NKT za WIDENING



● MREŽA
NACIONALNIH
KONTAKTNIH TOČK
Obzorje Evropa



Ocenjevanje- osnove

- V prijavnih obrazcih so navodila, kako izpolnjevati posamezne dele obrazcev in sledijo merilom ocenjevanja
- Slediti **usmeritvam javnega razpisa**, tehnične in druge administrativne zahteve
- **Poudariti** informacije, ki so ključne za evalvatorje
- **Elevator Pitch** – v eni minuti ključne informacije (jasnost, prepričljivost) !!
- Konkretnost, jasnost, merljivost ,logično sosledje ciljev, aktivnosti in rezultatov, metodologije
- Kratkoročni, srednjeročni in dolgoročni cilji projekta, **TRAJNOST PROJEKTA**
- Prepoznavanje **raziskovalnega in inovacijskega potenciala (ambicioznost)**
- Ne opisovati samo »state of the art«, ključen je **napredek „ beyond state of the art“**,
- Interdisciplinarnost, enakost spolov
- **Tveganja in ukrepi** za preprečevanje tveganj



Ključni elementi presoje projektnih predlogov po posameznih merilih -1.faza

Znanstvena odličnost –EXCELLENCE

- Clarity and pertinence of the project's objectives
- Quality of the proposed coordination and/or support measures including soundness of methodology



Ključni elementi presoje projektnih predlogov po posameznih merilih -1. faza

VPLIV- IMPACT

- **Credibility of the pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts specified in the work programme, and the likely scale and significance of the contributions from the project**
- **Suitability and quality of the measures to maximise expected outcomes and impacts, as set out in the dissemination and exploitation plan, including communication activities (2. faza)**



Ključni elementi presoje projektnih predlogov po posameznih merilih- 1.faza

Kvaliteta izvajanja – QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF IMPLEMENTATION

- **Quality and effectiveness of the work plan, assessment of risks, and appropriateness of the effort assigned to work packages, and the resources overall (2. faza ocenjevanja)**
- **Capacity and role of each participant, and extent to which the consortium as a whole brings together the necessary expertise (2. faza ocenjevanja)**



1. Faza ocenjevanja - slabosti- odličnost

- Objectives are **overly ambitious and unfocused**, subsequently, the project objectives **are not sufficiently described** in terms of **measurability, verifiability, and achievability**.
- While the vision of the proposal is ambitious, the **description is generic and insufficiently** detailed.
- Some of the objectives **are not sufficiently ambitious**. For example, the amount of expected number of publications does not grow proportional with the increasing number of researchers.
- Concrete scientific objectives are **not fully provided**.



1. Faza ocenjevanja - slabosti- odličnost

- Human resources in terms of administrative and technology transfer staff are **insufficiently described**.
- The proposed consortium briefly identified key challenges in line with the objectives of the Call but did not **sufficiently specify how these will be overcome** by specific activities undertaken during the project.
- The **challenges** of developing such a large project in the 6-year time frame have been **insufficiently considered**.
- The proposed methodology and technological platforms for **overcoming the scientific challenges** are too broad and insufficiently detailed.



1. Faza ocenjevanja - slabosti- odličnost

- The scientific research for developments **beyond the state of the art** are insufficiently described with insufficient details on what research topics will be focus on
- The strategic vision is **weakly described** mostly giving consideration for the local research institute to catch up with EU average.
- The methodologies how the excellence will be reached and maintained **on the longer term** is not defined.
- It is not clearly defined how the **decision autonomy** will-be reached or how the CoE will be managed



1. Faza ocenjevanja - slabosti- odličnost

- The Teaming institute is active in many different fields, but it is **not well described which area(s) the proposal intends to enhance the capabilities** of the host country's participants beyond the state-of-the-art.
- Synergies with smart specialisation, green deal and digital transformation are **not substantiated**.
- The HR gap is presented but recruitment is proposed to be partly organised via the exchange of people with the leading organisations and national funding systems **although this lacks details on schedules**.
- Structural gaps at regional level are generally described. However, the proposal does not sufficiently outline **approaches to address these** challenges.
- Open science **is not discussed** in a sufficient manner.



1. Faza ocenjevanja - slabosti- odličnost

- Expected results do not **demonstrate sufficiently meaningful growth** in R&I results **during and beyond** the project duration.
- **Current expertise** at the targeted research domain is **not sufficiently described**, which reduces the credibility.
- The methodology on how to build the science and research excellence **is not credible**, because the proposal does not explain sufficiently **how the proposed independent activities** will work together.
- Although measurable parameters are mentioned (e.g., increased new projects' numbers/publications, new PhDs/fellows, commercial outputs, etc.), they are **not always clearly linked to concrete enough KPIs/baselines**; thus, the SOs' measurability is not entirely convincing.



1. Faza ocenjevanja - slabosti- odličnost

- Despite the proposal's claims of the new CoE being an attractive place for regional high-calibre and ambitious young researchers/technical experts (e.g., by offering competitive salaries, good work environment), it **inadequately explains how exactly the human resources are to be retained.**
- Specific support measures to reach R&I excellence **are not sufficiently** detailed
- The measures needed to attract the necessary human resources are described but the **means to retain them are not.**



1. Faza ocenjevanja - slabosti- vpliv

- Key **requirements and the potential barriers** that might influence achievement of the expected outcomes and impacts, as well as possible mitigation measures, **are not addressed adequately**.
- The proposal addresses growth potential in the national automotive industry in combination with technology transfer in certain limited aspects, however it is very **restricted to the coordinator's region and competitiveness at global and EU level** is described **too broadly**.



1. Faza ocenjevanja - slabosti- vpliv

- The proposal highlights mainly deficiencies of the current R&I system, but does not provide **strategies how to overcome** them.
- Some gaps in industry are also discussed, but the **given mitigation actions are not sufficiently targeted**, and cooperation required at different levels is poorly described.
- The scale and significance of the potential outcomes **are not enough quantified**, especially with regard to the overall funding amount.



1. Faza ocenjevanja - slabosti- vpliv

- The potential impact of the CoE to the host country is described, but intermediate **results and their quantification are insufficiently** elaborated to be convincing.
- Although the long-term self-sustainability is one of the four main project objectives, the envisaged **sources for long-term financial support are not sufficiently substantiated**.
- The **scale and significance of the potential outcomes** are not well enough **quantified**, especially with regard to the overall funding amount



1. Faza ocenjevanja - slabosti- vpliv

- The proposal **fails to convincingly** describe how the long term self-sustainability will be ensured.
- The proposal **does not clearly describe** the technologies to be developed and how they will interact in terms of synergies, this potential is therefore not fully exploited.
- The potential impact on the host country region is very positive in terms of research and high level training in sustainable technologies, however it **is not described in sufficient detail**.
- **Key requirements for and potential barriers** to impact generation **are not specifically identified**, so that mitigation has not been sufficiently elaborated



PRE- SCREENING- prijava med 60 in 30 koledarskimi dnevi pred rokom za oddajo

1. Registrirate se na portalu wideraexperts.eu (brez izpolnjenega obrazca B)
2. NKT pridobi eksperta, ki bi lahko izvedel predhodni pregled
3. Pripravite predlog za predhodni pregled projektne prijave in se dogovorite z NKT in ekspertom glede časovne dinamike posredovanja projektne predloga (obrazec B), konzultacij preko spleta itd.
4. Ekspert izvede storitev predhodnega pregleda projektne predloga (prescreening)
5. Vi prejmete priporočila eksperta
6. Izpolnite vprašalnik o storitvi, ki NKT omogoča, da oceni ali je bila storitev strokovnjaka ali strokovnjakinje v skladu s pogodbo, dogovorjeno med strokovnjakom ali strokovnjakinjo
7. Ocenite eksperta v portalu



KORISTNE POVEZAVE

- MVZI in Obzorje Evropa: www.obzorje-evropa.si
- Portal za sodelujoče v Obzorju Evropa (Funding & Tenders Portal):
<https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/portal/screen/programmes/horizon>
- Vprašanja? Research Enquiry Service: <http://ec.europa.eu/research/enquiries>



REPUBLIKA SLOVENIJA
MINISTRSTVO ZA GOSPODARSTVO,
TURIZEM IN ŠPORT



REPUBLIKA SLOVENIJA
MINISTRSTVO ZA INFRASTRUKTURO



REPUBLIKA SLOVENIJA
MINISTRSTVO ZA VISOKO ŠOLSTVO,
ZNANOST IN INOVACIJE

 MREŽA
NACIONALNIH
KONTAKTNIH TOČK
Obzorje Evropa

 SPIRIT
SLOVENIJA



REPUBLIKA SLOVENIJA
MINISTRSTVO ZA OKOLJE,
PODNEBJE IN ENERGIJO



KONTAKT

mag. Tanja Vertelj

[Nacionalna kontaktna točka](#)

[Širitev sodelovanja in spodbujanje odličnosti](#)

tanja.vertelj@gov.si

01 478 4730

 MREŽA
NACIONALNIH
KONTAKTNIH TOČK
Obzorje Evropa

Pridružite se naši skupnosti
na LinkedIn profilu!

Linked 

